

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

2

RSA NO.457 of 2007(O&M)  
Date of Decision: February 13, 2007.

Sada Wanti and others

..... Appellants.

Versus

The Haryana Urban Dev. Authority and another.

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINOD K.SHARMA.

Present:- Mr. BD Sharma, Advocate for the appellants.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Vinod K.Sharma, J.(ORAL)

One of the grounds on which the suit filed by the plaintiff-appellant was dismissed is that the Civil Court did not have the jurisdiction to entertain and try the suit in view of Section 50(2) of the Haryana Urban Development Authority Act. It is not in dispute that on failure of the appellants to deposit the instalments the allotment of the plot was cancelled against which the appellants availed statutory remedy available under the Act. Administrator had passed the order on the concession given by the appellants. In view of this the lower appellate court was right in coming to the conclusion that the civil court had no jurisdiction to entertain and decide the suit.

No merit.

Dismissed.

V.K.Sharma  
Judge

RD  
10/2/07

13.2.2007

PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT